



*Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.*  
*Vol. (32) No. 6 pp 965-982,*  
*2012*

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

**STUDY ON RESPONSE OF EGGPLANT AND TOMATO PLANTS  
TO APPLICATION OF THE BIOFERTILIZER EFFECTIVE  
MICROORGANISMS (EM) FOR REDUCING THE USE OF  
INORGANIC N FERTILIZER**

Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour  
Vegetable Res. Dept. Hort. Res. Insitit. Agric. Res.Center, Giza,  
Egypt.

Received 19 Dec. 2012

Accepted 31 Dec. 2012

**ABSTRACT**

Eggplant and tomato plants were fertilized with the suitable N through 60 to 100 % mineral N fertilizer with or without foliar application of EM (Effective Microorganisms) at 0.2 % to 0.8 % (five times) during 2010 and 2011 seasons. Growth, yield and its components as well as chemical composition of fruits in response to these treatments were investigated.

Results showed that supplying eggplant and tomato plants with N through 60 to 100 % mineral N fertilizer along with EM spraying at 0.2 to 0.8 % was superior than using mineral fertilizer alone in enhancing growth, yield and its components as well as chemical constituents of the fruits. There was a gradual promotion on all the investigated characters with increasing EM concentrations. A clear decline on all the studied parameters was noticed with reducing percentages of mineral N fertilizer from 80 to 60 % even with the application of EM.

The best results with regard to yield and its components of both eggplant and tomato plants were obtained with supplying the plant with N via 80 % mineral N fertilizer + spraying the plants with EM at 0.8 % five times during the growing season.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) also known as Aubergine, Brinjal or Guinea squash is one of the non- tuberous species of the night shade family solanaceae. The varieties of eggplant show a wide range of fruit shapes and colors. It is an economically important crop in Asia, Africa and the sub- tropics regions. It is also cultivated in some warm temperate regions of the Mediterranean sea and South America. Eggplant fruits are known for being low in calories and having a mineral composition beneficial for human health. They are also a rich source of K, Mg, Ca and Fe (Zenia and Halina, ٢٠٠٨).

The tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill) is an important vegetable crop worldwide. Tomatoes aside from being tasty and nutritious as they are among other nutrients a good source of vitamins A and C and lycopene content. Hence this crop is gaining importance both in developing and developed countries and efforts are being made for improving the quality and quantity of production of this commodity (Mchov and Tringovska, ٢٠١٠).

Horticulture crops such as tomato and eggplant have a high cash market value in Egypt. Consequently, farmers are interested in ways of increasing the yield of such two crops through intensification and extensification. Intensification effects have focused on methods and techniques that can provide optimum economic yields, but without excessive increase in the farmer's costs. Thus, the most important consideration in the selection of new technologies is that they enhance the availability of plant nutrients and their uptake by crops.

Nitrogen is required by plants in comparatively large amounts than other elements (Marschner, ١٩٩٥). Nitrogen deficiency generally results in stunted growth and chlorotic leaves caused by poor assimilate formation that leads to premature flowering and shortening of the growth cycle. The presence of N in excess promote development of the above ground organs with abundant dark green tissues of soft consistency and relatively poor root growth. This increases the risk of lodging and reduces the plants resistance to harsh climatic conditions and to foliar diseases (Lincoln and Edvardo, ٢٠٠٦). Nitrogen fertilizer use has played a considerable role in

## **Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM**

increasing crop yield (Modhej *et al.*, २००८). Excessive application of mineral N fertilizers can result in a high soil nitrate concentration after crop harvest (Gordon *et al.*, १९९३). This situation can lead to an increase in the level of nitrate contamination of potable water because nitrate remaining in the soil profile may leach to ground water (Singh *et al.*, १९९०). A great way to solve these problems is usage of biological nitrogen fixation. The utilization of biological nitrogen fixation method on decrease the use of chemical N fertilizer prevent the depletion of soil organic matter and reduce environmental pollution (Choudhury and Kennedy, २००६).

Biofertilizer is a natural product carrying living microorganisms, so it IS not has any bad effect on soil health and environment. The beneficial effects of biofertilizers on plants are attributed mainly to an improvement in root development, an increase in the rate of water and mineral uptake by roots, displacement of fungi and plant pathogenic bacterica and to a lesser extent biological N fixation (Okon and Itzigshohim, १९९०). Besides N fixation, microorganisms synthesis and secrete considerable amounts of biologically active substances like vitamins B, nicotinic acid, pantothenic acid, biotin, heteroxines and GA<sub>γ</sub> which enhance root growth of plants (Rao, १९८६). Also, microorganisms are responsible for secreting of ammonia in the rhizosphere in the presence of root exudates, which helps in modification of nutrients uptake by the plants (Narula and Gupta, १९८६).

Effective microorganisms (EM) consist of mixed cultures of naturally occurring beneficial microorganisms (ie bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes and yeasts) that are applied as inoculants to change the microbial diversity and interaction in soil and plants. In turn, EM has been shown to improve soil health and the growth, yield and quality of crops over a wide range of agro ecological conditions (Higa, १९८८ and Higa and Parr, १९९६). Foliar application of EM appears to suppress the occurrence of plant diseases and facilitates the uptake of simple organic molecules that can increase plant growth and yield. Previous studies showed that using EM via leaves had beneficial effects in improving soil fertility, plant growth, yield and quality of vegetable

## Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour

crops (Higa and Wididana, 1991; Imai and Higa, 1994; Minami and Higa, 1994 and Wididana, 1994a and b).

Using the suitable N via mineral N along with biofertilization was essential in enhancing growth, nutritional status of the plants, yield and its components of different vegetable crops (Subba- Rao, 2001; Pal *et al.*, 2002; Sat and Saimbhi, 2003; Hafez, 2003; Abou- Aly, 2005; Akanbi *et al.*, 2007; Arun, 2007; Aujla *et al.*, 2007; Zenia and Halina, 2008; Modhej *et al.*, 2008; Olaniyi *et al.*, 2009; Mchov and Tringavska, 2010; Aminifard *et al.*, 2010; Magdi *et al.*, 2011; Azorpour *et al.*, 2012 and Ramakrishan and Selvakumar, 2012).

This study was conducted for examining the effect of using EM via leaves as a partial replacement for mineral N fertilizers in tomato and Eggplant plants.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out in Mallawy Agricultural Research Station during the two successive summer seasons of 2010 and 2011 on tomato and eggplant plants. The soil texture is clay loam. Soil analysis was done according to the procedures that outlined by Chapman and Pratt (1960) and the obtained data are shown in Table (1).

**Table 1: Analysis of the tested soil:**

Constituents	Values
<b>a- Physical properties:</b>	
Sand %	72
Silt %	21.5
Clay %	31.8
Texture	Clay loam
<b>b- Chemical properties</b>	
pH	8.08
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	1.98
E.C (ds/m)	1.11
O.M. %	1.9
Total N %	0.09
Available P (ppm)	4.1
Available K (ppm)	410.5

## Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM

Tomato cv. Super strain B seedlings were transplanted on the second week of April during both seasons at 70 cm. apart, 1 meter length and 1.2 meter width rows. Each plot consisted of four rows. The plot area was 19.2 m<sup>2</sup>. Eggplant cv. Balady (Henane) seedlings were transplanted on the same previous dates in 90 cm. apart 1 meter length and 0.9 meter width rows. Each plot consisted of four rows. The area of each plot was 14.4 m<sup>2</sup>. The common cultural practices for tomato and eggplant production were followed.

Ammonium sulphate 20.0% as a source of nitrogen, and the Effective microorganisms EM (ie, bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes and yeasts) were applied according the twelve following treatments.-

- 1- The suitable N was at rate of 100 kg N/ fed, for eggplant and 80 kg N/ fed, for tomato, these concentration represented 100% mineral N fertilizer without spraying Effective Microorganisms (EM).
- 2- The suitable N was 100 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.2 %.
- 3- The suitable N was 100 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.4 %.
- 4- The suitable N was 100 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.8 %.
- 5- The suitable N was at rate of 80 kg N /fed, for eggplant and 64 kg N/fed, for tomato , these concentrations represented 80 % mineral N without spraying EM.
- 6- The suitable N was 80 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.2 %.
- 7- The suitable N was 80 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.4 %.
- 8- The suitable N was 80 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.8 %.
- 9- The suitable N was at rate of 60 kg N /fed, for eggplant and 48 kg N/fed, for tomato , these concentrations represented 60 % mineral N without spraying EM.
- 10- The suitable N was 60 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.2 %.
- 11- The suitable N as 60 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.4 %.
- 12- The suitable N as 60 % mineral N + spraying EM at 0.8 %.

EM was sprayed five times started at 30 days after transplanting and repeated at ten days intervals. Randomized complete block design with three replications were used. Ammonium sulphate (20.0%) as a source of mineral N was splitted into three equal parts and added at 30 days after transplanting and at 30 days intervals, the other fertilizers (organic fertilizer, calcium super-phosphate(10.0%

## Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour

P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), potassium sulphate (ε<sup>λ</sup>% K<sub>2</sub>O) were added at a recommended dose.

### Data recorded:-

#### 1. Growth characters:

A random sample of five plants was taken from every plot at 40 days from transplanting in both seasons of study for evaluating the growth characters of tomato and eggplants expressed as plant height and number of branches per plant.

#### 2. Yield and its components:

Fruits of tomato and eggplant for each plot were harvested at full-ripe maturity stage and then counted, weighed and the following data were calculated: number of fruits/ plant, fruit weight/ plant and total yield per fed.(tons). Physical characters of fruits namely fruit weight and dimensions (length and diameter) were measured. Also, total soluble solids was determined by using handy refractometer.

#### 3. Fruit chemical constituents:

Dried fruits from the three harvests from tomato and eggplant were finely ground separately and digested with sulfuric acid and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Percentages of N, P and K were determined according to the methods outlined by Chapman and Pratt (1960). Total proteins % was recorded by multiplying N values by 6.25 % (A.O.A.C., 2000).

All the obtained data were subjected to the analysis of variance according to Mead *et al.* (1993). L.S.D test was used for the comparison among treatment means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1- Plant height and number of branches/ plant:

It is clear from the data in Table (3) that effective microorganisms increasing percentages of mineral N from 60 to 100 % out of the suitable N and EM from 0.5 to 0.8 % caused a significant stimulation on the two growth characters namely plant height and number of branches per plant of tomato and eggplant plants. Combined application of mineral N at 60 to 100 % and EM at 0.5 to 0.8 % significantly enhanced such two growth characters in comparison to using N through mineral N source alone. The maximum

## **Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM**

values were recorded in case of the plant that fertilized with N via 100 % mineral N plus spraying EM at 0.8 %. Fertilizing the plants with N through 70 % mineral N without spraying EM gave the minimum values. These results were similar during both seasons of study.

These results might be attributed to the beneficial effects of N on enhancing cell division and the biosynthesis of plant pigments and organic foods (Marschner, 1990). The great benefits of EM on enhancing N fixation, soil fertility and uptake of organic nutrients as well as suppressing plant diseases may explain the present results (Wididana, 1994b).

The promoting effect of N on growth characters of vegetable crops was supported by results of Aminiford *et al.* (2010); Magdi *et al.* (2011); Azoropour *et al.* (2012) and Ramakrishnan and Selvakumar (2012).

The results of Higa and Wididana (1991); Imai and Higa (1994); Mionami and Higa (1994) and Wididana (1994b) emphasized the beneficial effect of EM on growth characters of different tested vegetable crops.

### **2- Number of fruits and fruit weight per plant:**

Data in Table (2) showed significant differences on number of fruits and fruit weight per plant of tomato and eggplant plants among most the twelve treatments. Reducing mineral N fertilizer from 80 to 70 % out of the suitable N resulted in significant reduction on such two fruit characters. There was a gradual and significant promotion on the number of fruits and fruit weight per plant with increasing the concentration of EM from 0.2 to 0.8 %. Using mineral N at 70 to 100 % along with EM at 0.2 to 0.8 % seem to be favourable than using mineral N alone in improving such the two fruit characters. Using the suitable N via 80 % mineral N plus EM especially at the higher concentrations (0.4 and 0.8 %) significantly promoted such two characters. The maximum number and weight of fruits per plant in tomato and eggplant were observed on the plants that were fertilized with N at 80 % mineral plus using EM at 0.8 %. Using N via 70 %

Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour

mineral N without spraying EM gave the lowest values. Similar results were recorded during both seasons.

**Table 2: Effect of mineral N and EM foliar application treatments on plant height, number of branches/ plant, number of fruits/ plant and fruit weight per plant of tomato and eggplant during 2010 and 2011 seasons.**

Mineral N and EM treatment	Tomato				Eggplant			
	Plant height (cm.)		No. of branches/ plant		Plant height (cm.)		No. of branches/ plant	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
100 % M without EM	50.0	56.0	0.3	0.3	122.0	123.0	7.1	7.2
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	70.0	72.0	0.7	0.8	129.0	130.0	7.7	7.7
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	77.0	78.0	7.0	7.3	137.0	138.0	7.3	7.4
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	71.0	72.0	7.8	7.7	142.0	144.0	7.8	7.9
80 % M without EM	50.0	51.0	4.9	5.0	108.0	110.0	5.8	5.9
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.4	112.0	110.0	7.3	7.0
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	73.0	71.0	7.1	7.0	120.0	127.0	7.9	7.9
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	70.0	70.0	7.4	7.0	130.0	133.0	7.4	7.4
60 % M without EM	48.0	49.0	4.7	4.7	99.0	101.0	5.2	5.3
60 % M + EM at 0.2 %	52.0	53.0	0.3	0.2	103.0	107.0	5.7	5.8
60 % M + EM at 0.4 %	57.0	57.0	0.7	0.7	117.0	120.0	7.1	7.2
60 % M + EM at 0.8 %	59.0	59.0	0.8	7.0	120.0	122.0	7.3	7.4
L.S.D at 0.05	1.9	1.8	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.0	0.4	0.4
Treatments \ Character	No. of fruits/ plant		Fruit weight/ plant (kg.)		No. of fruits/ plant		Fruit weight/ plant (kg.)	
100 % M without EM	27.77	29.37	1.04	1.74	19.77	20.13	1.31	1.34
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	31.40	32.10	1.89	1.97	22.17	22.07	1.02	1.00
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	30.10	30.37	2.23	2.27	20.30	20.87	1.79	1.84
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	37.40	37.90	2.34	2.40	27.40	27.70	1.90	1.92
80 % M without EM	20.43	27.10	1.40	1.43	19.00	19.00	1.20	1.21
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	30.70	30.83	1.89	1.91	24.33	24.70	1.79	1.72
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	38.23	38.33	2.04	2.07	28.07	28.43	2.08	2.11
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	39.10	38.93	2.77	2.77	29.37	29.90	2.21	2.27
60 % M without EM	23.00	23.03	1.22	1.24	17.70	17.97	1.07	1.08
60 % M + EM at 0.2 %	27.00	27.77	1.43	1.47	18.73	18.73	1.20	1.20
60 % M + EM at 0.4 %	29.23	29.23	1.77	1.80	23.13	23.30	1.08	1.70
60 % M + EM at 0.8 %	32.17	32.30	1.97	1.99	24.37	24.40	1.79	1.79
L.S.D at 0.05	2.82	2.93	0.18	0.17	2.11	2.10	0.19	0.19

M = Mineral N fertilizer

EM=Effective Microorganisms

The beneficial effect of the optimum rate of mineral N as well as EM on enhancing growth and nutritional status of plants seem to be



## Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM

positively reflected on enhancing fruit processes. The great reduction on fruiting due to using the lower rates of mineral N might be ascribed to the great decline on growth characters.

The promoting effect of N on fruiting was supported by the results of Magdi *et al.* (2011).

### 3- Yield/ feddan:

Results of table (3) showed that total yield/ fed, of tomato and eggplant was significantly affected by supplying rate of mineral N and EM. Generally, using N via mineral source at 70 to 80 % plus spraying EM at 0.5 to 0.8 % significantly improved the total yield in comparing to using mineral N alone (without EM). The promotion on the total yield was significantly associated with increasing EM concentrations from 0.5 to 0.8 %.

A significant decline on the total yield was observed with reducing mineral N from 80 to 70 % even with the foliar application of EM at 0.5 to 0.8 %. There was a gradual and significant reduction on the total yield with reducing mineral N percentages from 100 to 70 % especially without spraying EM. The maximum total yield/ fed for tomato (42.71 and 42.06 tons/fed) and for eggplant (19.89 and 20.37 tons/fed) were observed with using mineral N at 80 % plus spraying EM at 0.8 %. Using mineral N at 70 % of the recommended dose without spraying EM gave the lowest values. These results were similar during both seasons of the study.

The previous benefits of the suitable N and EM on number of fruits and fruit weight per plant positively reflected on enhancing total yield /fed, for tomato and eggplant.

The results with regard to the effect of N are in agreement with those obtained by Aroun (2007) and Aujla *et al.* (2007).

### 4- Average fruit weight and dimensions:

It is evident from the data in Table (3) that reducing percentages of mineral N from 100 to 80 % of the recommended dose especially with using higher concentrations of EM was accompanied with significant increase in fruit weight and dimensions (length and diameter of fruit). However, a significant decline on such three

## Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour

physical characters was observed with reducing percentages of mineral N from 80 to 60 % even with the application of EM. Generally, increasing EM concentration from 0.5 to 0.8 % was followed by a gradual and significant promotions on fruit weight and dimensions. The highest values were recorded with using mineral N at 80 % plus spraying EM at 0.8 %. Using mineral N at 60 % of the suitable N alone gave the lowest values. Similar trend was noticed during both seasons.

The beneficial of N and EM especially at the optimum rate improved cell division and the biosynthesis of the organic foods and often reflected on increasing fruit weight and dimensions.

The beneficial effect of N on fruit weight and dimensions was supported by the results of Abou- Aly (1990) and Aujlo *et al.*, (1997).

The results of Imai and Higa (1994) and Wididana (1994b) confirmed the beneficial effect of EM on physical characters of the fruits of vegetable crops.

### •- Chemical characters of the fruits:

Data in Table (4) indicated that T.S.S, N, P, K & proteins percentages in the fruits of tomato and eggplant were significantly affected by supplying rate of mineral N and EM.

Reducing percentages of mineral N from 80 to 60 % without spraying EM caused a gradual and significant reduction on such five chemical constituents of the fruits. With using EM at 0.5 to 0.8 % and reducing mineral N percentages from 100 to 80 % caused a significant promotion on such chemical properties. However, a significant reduction on T.S.S, N, P, K and proteins in the fruits were observed with reducing percentages of mineral N from 80 to 60 % even with application of EM. The heaviest fruits were observed on the plants that received mineral N at 80 % + spraying EM at 0.8 %. Treating the plants with mineral N at 60 % without using EM gave the lowest values. These results were similar during both seasons.

## Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM

**Table 3: Effect of mineral N and EM foliar application treatments on total yield/ fed (tons) as well as height, length and diameter of fruit of tomato and eggplant during 2010 and 2011 seasons.**

Mineral N and EM treatment	Tomato				Eggplant			
	Total yield/ fed (tons)		Fruit weight (g.)		Total yield/ fed (tons)		Fruit weight (g.)	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
100 % M without EM	24.79	26.29	55.73	55.71	11.79	12.03	77.10	77.40
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	30.29	31.02	70.30	71.47	13.70	13.92	78.40	78.40
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	30.78	32.32	73.53	74.17	17.08	17.02	70.70	71.00
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	37.49	38.40	74.43	75.20	17.07	17.20	71.80	72.00
80 % M without EM	22.40	22.88	55.07	54.90	10.83	10.87	73.40	73.70
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	30.24	30.51	71.70	71.90	15.21	15.40	79.40	79.80
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	40.79	41.01	77.00	77.80	18.70	18.02	74.20	74.40
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	42.71	42.57	78.10	78.37	19.89	20.37	75.30	75.70
60 % M without EM	19.47	19.84	52.50	52.70	9.70	9.72	70.20	70.30
60 % M + EM at 0.2 %	22.88	23.41	54.07	55.30	10.77	10.83	74.20	74.20
60 % M + EM at 0.4 %	28.21	28.80	70.30	70.97	14.19	14.43	78.20	78.80
60 % M + EM at 0.8 %	31.02	31.79	71.20	71.50	15.21	15.24	79.40	79.50
L.S.D at 0.05	1.99	1.79	2.11	1.99	1.01	1.00	1.11	1.00
<b>Treatments Character</b>	<b>Fruit length (cm.)</b>		<b>Fruit diameter (cm.)</b>		<b>Fruit length (cm.)</b>		<b>Fruit diameter (cm.)</b>	
100 % M without EM	4.08	4.12	3.71	3.73	12.32	12.37	3.32	3.30
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.02	4.07	3.99	4.04	12.71	12.79	3.53	3.71
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	4.83	4.90	4.52	4.57	13.21	13.31	3.92	3.99
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	4.94	5.00	4.74	4.70	13.40	13.53	4.09	4.17
80 % M without EM	3.90	3.98	3.43	3.44	11.82	11.90	3.02	3.09
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.74	4.70	4.09	4.18	12.92	12.99	3.72	3.78
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	5.09	5.13	4.82	4.90	13.70	13.73	4.22	4.30
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	5.20	5.20	4.97	5.07	13.74	13.80	4.33	4.42
60 % M without EM	3.90	3.99	3.40	3.41	11.30	11.40	2.91	2.90
60 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.21	4.29	3.81	3.87	12.01	12.11	3.30	3.40
60 % M + EM at 0.4 %	4.71	4.70	4.24	4.31	12.71	12.72	3.70	3.73
60 % M + EM at 0.8 %	4.91	4.97	4.34	4.41	12.79	12.87	3.84	3.87
L.S.D at 0.05	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09

M = Mineral N fertilizer  
EM=Effective Microorganisms

**Table 4: Effect of mineral N and EM foliar application treatments on percentages of T.S.S, N, P, K and total proteins in the fruits of tomato and eggplant during 2010 and 2011 seasons.**

Mineral N and EM treatment	Tomato				Eggplant							
	T.S.S %		Fruit N %		T.S.S %		Fruit N %					
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011				
100 % M without EM	3.07	3.73	1.22	1.26	4.41	4.49	2.86	2.90				
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.34	4.38	1.38	1.40	4.73	4.74	2.98	3.02				
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	4.79	4.81	1.08	1.71	4.93	4.96	3.23	3.20				
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	4.90	4.94	1.74	1.79	0.07	0.13	3.34	3.37				
80 % M without EM	3.44	3.47	1.11	1.12	4.30	4.33	2.70	2.79				
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.47	4.48	1.48	1.01	4.83	4.88	3.08	3.12				
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	4.93	4.97	1.71	1.74	0.30	0.41	3.33	3.37				
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	0.07	0.11	1.82	1.87	0.42	0.00	3.42	3.97				
60 % M without EM	3.20	3.30	0.93	0.97	4.12	4.14	2.43	2.49				
60 % M + EM at 0.2 %	4.40	4.47	1.11	1.10	4.33	4.34	2.73	2.77				
60 % M + EM at 0.4 %	4.88	4.91	1.38	1.43	4.71	4.74	2.83	2.88				
60 % M + EM at 0.8 %	4.99	0.00	1.47	1.02	4.83	4.87	3.03	3.09				
L.S.D at 0.05	0.31	0.28	0.11	0.10	0.27	0.26	0.11	0.11				
Treatments Character	Fruit P %		Fruit K %		Fruit proteins %		Fruit P %		Fruit K %		Fruit proteins %	
	0.26	0.26	1.41	1.43	7.06	7.74	0.47	0.00	2.72	2.74	22.09	22.18
100 % M + EM at 0.2 %	0.32	0.33	1.03	1.07	7.73	7.73	0.07	0.08	2.78	2.80	22.72	22.70
100 % M + EM at 0.4 %	0.37	0.38	1.78	1.73	8.11	8.11	0.78	0.73	3.00	3.07	24.31	24.41
100 % M + EM at 0.8 %	0.41	0.43	1.77	1.83	8.23	8.23	0.76	0.79	3.11	3.17	24.70	24.78
80 % M without EM	0.23	0.26	1.23	1.20	7.03	7.03	0.38	0.43	2.40	2.48	20.00	20.31
80 % M + EM at 0.2 %	0.30	0.38	1.08	1.70	7.82	7.82	0.00	0.04	2.88	2.90	23.32	23.39
80 % M + EM at 0.4 %	0.47	0.48	1.81	1.82	8.02	8.02	0.77	0.80	3.22	3.26	24.70	24.81
80 % M + EM at 0.8 %	0.01	0.00	1.89	1.92	8.72	8.72	0.86	0.89	3.28	3.33	20.00	20.10

## Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM

											١	٥
٦٠ % M without EM	٠,١٨	٠,٢٠	١,١٣	١,١٥	٦,٢٣	٦,٢٣	٠,٣٣	٠,٣٥	٢,٢٧	٢,٣٢	١٦,٥ ١	١٦,٧ ١
٦٠ % M + EM at ٠,٢ %	٠,٢٤	٠,٢٦	١,٣٦	١,٣٩	٦,٩٨	٦,٩٨	٠,٤٦	٠,٤٨	٢,٦٦	٢,٧٢	١٨,٠ .	١٨,٢ ٢
٦٠ % M + EM at ٠,٤ %	٠,٣٣	٠,٣٤	١,٥٩	١,٦٢	٧,٤٢	٧,٤٢	٠,٥٩	٠,٦٠	٢,٨٢	٢,٨٥	١٩,١ ١	١٩,٢ ١
٦٠ % M + EM at ٠,٨ %	٠,٣٩	٠,٣٨	١,٧٠	١,٧٢	٧,٥٥	٧,٥٥	٠,٦٢	٠,٦٥	٢,٩٠	٢,٩٥	١٩,٥ ٥	١٩,٦ .
<b>L.S.D at ٠,٠٥</b>	٠,٠٤	٠,٠٤	٠,٠٧	٠,٠٦	٠,٢٥	٠,٢٥	٠,٠٧	٠,٠٨	٠,٠٨	٠,٠٨	٠,٢٨	٠,٢٨

M = Mineral N fertilizer

EM=Effective Microorganisms

## Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour

The beneficial effect of the optimum rate of N and EM on advancing maturity and the uptake of different nutrients may explain the present results.

The promoting effect of the suitable N on fruit chemical compositions was confirmed by the results of Arun (۲۰۰۷); Magdi *et al.* (۲۰۱۱) and Azorpour *et al.* (۲۰۱۲).

Also, the promoting effect of EM on chemical composition of fruits was emphasized by the results of Wididana (۱۹۹۴a) and (۱۹۹۴b).

As a conclusions, the suitable fertilizer N ۸۰% for eggplant and tomato was at rate ۸۰ Kg/fed, and ۶۴Kg /fed, respectively, Using EM spraying at rate ۰.۸% recorded the best results in enhancing plant growth, yield and its components.

### REFERENCES

- Abou- Aly, H. A.** (۲۰۰۵): Stimulatory affect of some yeast application on response of tomato plants to inoculation with biofertilizers. *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor* ۴۳ (۲).
- Akanbi, W. B.; Toguun, A. O.; Olaniran, O. A.; Akinfasoye, J. O. and Tairu, F. M.** (۲۰۰۷): Physicochemical properties of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) fruit in response to nitrogen fertilizer and fruit size. *J. Central Euro. Agric.*, ۲ (۱): ۱۴۰ – ۱۴۸.
- Aminifard, M. H.; Aroiee, H.; Fatemi, H.; Ameri, A. and Karimpour, S.** (۲۰۱۰): Responses of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) to different rates of nitrogen under field conditions. *J. Central Euro. Agric.*, ۱۱ (۴): ۴۰۳ – ۴۰۸.
- AOAC** , (۲۰۰۵). Official methods of analysis. socation of Analytical Chemists ۱۸<sup>th</sup> ed, Washington, DC.
- Arun, K. S.** (۲۰۰۷): Bio- fertilizers for sustainable agriculture. Mechanism of P solubilization. ۶<sup>th</sup> edition, Agribios Publishers, Jodhpur, India, pp. ۱۹۶ – ۱۹۷.
- Aujla, M. S.; Thind, H. S. & Buttar, G. S.** (۲۰۰۷): Fruit yield and water use efficiency of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) as influenced by different quantities of nitrogen and water

**Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM**

applied through drip and furrow irrigation. J. Sci. Hortic. 112, 142 – 148.

- Azarpour, E.; Motamed, M. K.; Moraditochae, M. and Reza Bozorgi, H. (2012):** Effect of bio, mineral nitrogen fertilizer management under humic acid foliar spraying on fruit yield and several traits of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). African J. of Agric. Res. Vol. 5 (7): 1104 – 1109.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. F. (1965):** Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plant and Water, Calif Univ. Division of Agric. Sci., 172 – 173.
- Choudhury, A.T.M, Kennedy I.R. (2004):** Prospects and potentials for systems of biological nitrogen fixation in sustainable rice production. Biology and Fertility of Soils 39, 219 – 227.
- Gordon, W. B.; Whitney, D. A. and Raney, R. J. (1993):** Nitrogen management in furrow irrigated, ridge- tilled corn. J. Prod. Agric., 6: 213 – 217.
- Hafez, M. M. (2003):** Effect of some sources of nitrogen fertilizer and concentrations of humic acid on the productivity of squash plant. Egypt J. Appl. Sci., 19 (10): 293 – 309.
- Higa, T. (1988):** Studies on the application of microorganisms in nature farming II. Practical application of Effective Microorganisms. Paper presented at the 7<sup>th</sup> IFOAM Conf., Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. 9 p.
- Higa, T. and Parr. J. F. (1994):** Beneficial of Effective Microorganisms for a Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. International Nature Farming Res. Center, Atami, Japan, 16 pp.
- Higa, T. and Wididana, G. N. (1991):** The concept and theories of Effective Microorganisms. pp. 118 – 124. In J. F. Pam, S. B. Hornick and C. E. Whitman (ed.) Proc. of the 1<sup>st</sup> Inter. Conf. on Kyusei Nature Farming U. S. Dept. of Agric., Washington, D.C. U.S.A.

**Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour**

- Imai, S. and Higa, T. (1994):** Kyusei Nature Farming in Japan: Effect of EM on the growth and yield of spinach. P. 92 – 96. In J. F. Parr, S. B. Horink and M. E. Simpson (ed.). Proc. of 2<sup>nd</sup> Inter. Conf. on Kyusei Nature Farming U. S. Dept. of Agric., Washington, D.C. U.S.A.
- Lincoln, T. and Edvardo, Z. (2006):** Assimilation of mineral nutrition. In: Plant physiology (4<sup>th</sup> ed.), Sinaur Associates, Inc. Pub. P. O. Box. 407, Sunderland. 400 p.
- Magdi, T. A.; Selim, E. M. and Elghamry, A. M. (2011):** Integrated effects of bio and mineral fertilizers and humic substances on growth, yield and nutrient contents of fertigated cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). J. Agron., 10 (1): 34 – 39.
- Marshner, H. (1995):** Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press (London).
- Mead, R.; Currow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993):** Statistical Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology. Second Ed. Chapman & Hall London. pp 10 - 44.
- Mchov, M. and Tringovska, I. (2010):** Energy efficiency improvement of greenhouse tomato production by applying new biofertilizers. Bulgarian, J. of agric. Sci. 16. No. 4, pp 404 – 408.
- Minami, T. and Higa, T. (1994):** Kyusei Nature Farming in Japan: Effect of EM on the yield of paddy rice p. 97 – 102. In J. F. Parr, S. B. Homick and M. E. Simpson (ed.) Proc. of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Inter. Conf. on Kyusei Nature Farming U. S. Dept. of Agric., Washington, D.C. U.S.A.
- Modhej, A.; Naeri, A.; Emam, Y.; Aynehband, A. And Normohamadi, Gh. (2008):** Effect of post- anthesis heat stress and nitrogen levels on grain yield in wheat (*T. durum* and *T. aestivum*) genotypes. Int. J. Plant production. 2, 207 – 267.
- Narula, N. and Gupta, K. G. (1986):** Ammonia excretion by *Azotobacter chroococcum* in liquid culture and soil in the



## Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM

presence of manganese and clay minerals. Plant and Soil, 93: 205 – 209.

- Okon, Y. and Itzigshohim, R. (1995):** The development of *Azospirillum* as a commercial inoculant for improving crop yields. Biotechnol. Adv. 13: 414 – 424.
- Olaniyi, J. O.; Ogunbiyi, E. M. and Alagbe, D. D. (2009):** Effects of organo- mineral fertilizers on growth, yield and mineral nutrients uptake in cucumber. J. of Animal & Plant Sci. Vol. 9 Issue 1: 437 – 442.
- Pal, S.; Saimbhi, M. S. and Bal, S. S. (2002):** Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on growth and yield of brinjal hybrid (*Solanum melongena* L.). J. Veg. Sci., 29, 90 – 91.
- Ramakrishnan, K. and Selvakumar, G. (2012):** Effect of biofertilizers on enhancement of growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Inter. J. Res. in Botany 3 (4): 20 – 23.
- Rao, D. L. N. (1986):** Nitrogen fixation in free living and associative symbiotic bacteria In Soil Microorganisms and Plant Growth. Subba Rao N. S. (Ed.). Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., New Delhi.
- Sat, P. and Saimbhi, M. S. (2003):** Effect of varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on earliness and yield of brinjal hybrids. J. Res. Crops. 4 (2): 217 – 222.
- Singh, B.; Singh, Y. and Sekhon, G. S. (1995):** Fertilizer N use efficiency and nitrate pollution of groundwater in developing countries. J. Contam. Hydrol., 20: 167 – 184.
- Subba Rao, N. S. (2001):** An appraisal of biofertilizers in India. The biotechnology of biofertilizers, (ed.) S. Kannaiyan, Narosa Pub. House, New Delhi.
- Wididana, G. N. (1994a):** Application of Effective Microorganisms (EM) on agricultural fields in Indonesia. (in Bahasa, Indonesia). Bulletin of Kyusei Nature Farming, 4: 179 – 186.
- Wididana, G. N. (1994b):** The movement of Kyusei Nature Farming and development of EM technology in Indonesia (1991 –

**Wael, M. Abd El- Hakim and Nasser, T. S. Mansour**

١٩٩٤). Paper presented at ٦<sup>th</sup> APNAN Steering Committee meeting held October ٦ - ١٠, ١٩٩٤ Kyongju, South Korea.

**Zenia, M. and Halina, B. (٢٠٠٨):** Content of microelements in eggplant fruits depending on nitrogen fertilization and plant training method. J. Elementol., ١٣ (٢): ٢٦٩ - ٢٧٤.

دراسة مدى استجابة نباتات الباذنجان و الطماطم  
لاستخدام المخصب الحيوى EM لتقليل استخدام السماد  
النيتروجينى الغير عضوى

وائل محمد عبد الحكيم - ناصرتوفيق سليمان منصور

قسم بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعيه - الجيزه - مصر

تم تسميد نباتات الباذنجان و الطماطم خلال موسمي ٢٠١٠ ، ٢٠١١ بالكمية  
المثلئى لهما من النيتروجين من ٦٠ إلى ١٠٠ % سماد نيتروجينى معدني مع أو بدون الرش  
الورقي بمخصب الكائنات الحية الدقيقة الفعالة (مخصب حيوي) بتركيز ما بين ٠.٢ إلى  
٠.٨ % (خمسه مرات ) . تم دراسة مدى تأثر النمو ، المحصول و مكوناته و المحتوى  
الكيمائى للثمرة لهذه المعاملات .

أشارت نتائج الدراسة إلى أن تسميد نباتات الباذنجان و الطماطم بالنيتروجين من  
خلال ٦٠ إلى ١٠٠ % سماد نيتروجينى معدني جنبا إلى جنب مع رش مركب الكائنات  
الحية الدقيقة الفعالة بتركيز ما بين ٠.٢ إلى ٠.٨ % قد تفوق على استخدام السماد  
النيتروجينى المعدني بمفرده فى تحسين النمو ، المحصول و خصائصه و كذلك المحتوى  
الكيمائى للثمار وكان هناك تحسن تدريجي في جميع الصفات تحت الدراسة بزيادة التركيز  
المستخدم من المخصب الحيوي و كان هناك نقص واضح فى هذه الصفات بنقص النسبة  
المئوية المستخدمة من السماد النيتروجينى المعدني من ٨٠ إلى ٦٠ % حتى مع استخدام  
المخصب الحيوي.

## **Study on response of eggplant and tomato plants to application of the biofertilizer EM**

أمكن الحصول على أفضل النتائج بخصوص المحصول و مكوناته فى نباتات الباذنجان و الطماطم عند تسميد النباتات بالنيتروجين على هيئة ٨٠ % سماد معدني جنباً إلى جنب مع المخصب الحيوي بتركيز ٠.٨ % خمسة مرات .